

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/552,204		JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT		1619	

All Participants:

(1) JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT.

(2) ALAN ZOMBECK

Date of Interview: 22 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection

Claims discussed:

8-9

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 2002-308991 ('991)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT /

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619

Status of Application: Final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed the attorney that the product by process claims are obvious over JP '991 reference cited in copending application 10/306,012 and application is in condition for allowance subjecting to cancellation of claims 8-9 and claims 1-7 are allowed since JP '331 does not teach or suggest the method of claims 1-7 using a cross linking agent. Attorney authorized the examiner to cancel claims 8-9 by examiners amendment.